Key Element		1 195		1045	Score		a una any		
Acy Element	2012	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Injury and Illness Prevention Program	50%	83%	100%	100%	100%	83%	83%	100%	100%
Confined Space Program	50%	83%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%
Hazard Communication Program	0%	83%	100%	83%	83%	100%	100%	83%	83%
Emergency Action Plan	25%	92%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Control of Hazardous Energy Procedure	0%	67%	75%	83%	100%	100%	67%	100%	100%
Arc Flash and Electrical Safety Program	0%	67%	100%	92%	92%	75%	100%	100%	83%
Accident Investigation	58%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hazardous Waste and DOT Program	0%	67%	75%	58%	58%	67%	100%	83%	100%
Heat Illness Prevention Program	0%	83%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Fall Protection Program	0%	8%	42%	100%	100%	83%	83%	100%	100%
Fire Prevention Plan	75%	83%	67%	83%	100%	83%	100%	100%	75%
Forklift Operations	83%	83%	100%	83%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Overhead Crane Operations	67%	67%	100%	100%	83%	83%	100%	83%	83%
Slings and Hoisting Equipment	58%	67%	100%	100%	100%	83%	100%	83%	100%
Bloodborne Pathogens Procedure	0%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Alcohol and Controlled Substances Program	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Environmental, Health and Safety Program Scorecard Summary

Key Element	CONTRACTOR OF	STEEL ST	THE PARTY		Score		S. 31.20		
Key Liement	2012	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Asbestos Containing Materials Program	75%	83%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Excavation and Trench Safety Program	67%	75%	100%	92%	58%	83%	100%	83%	83%
Personal Protective Equipment Program	42%	83%	75%	83%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%
Physical Inspections of Facilities and Grounds Program	75%	83%	83%	100%	100%	83%	83%	83%	83%
Respiratory Protection Program	50%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%	83%	83%
Hearing Conservation Program	50%	83%	92%	83%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%
НМВР	42%	83%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
SPCC	0%	:\$0%·	67%	83%	100%	100%	100%	83%	100%
Overall Score	39%	77%	87%	89%	92%	91%	97%	94%	94%

Scoring:

- 0 Not Present
- 1 Present but needs major revisions does not meet the regulatory requirements
- 2 Present but needs minor changes or improvements
- $3-{\sf Excellent},$ requires routine work to support

Note: Scoring is weighted, written program and training each account for 25% of the overall score, execution of procedures in routine operations are worth 50% of the overall score.

Environmental, Health and Safety Program Scorecard

	onmenta	West Control	100	AND DESCRIPTION	Coore				
Key Element	No.		2045	2016	Score 2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
	2012	2014	2015	2016	2017	2010			120
njury and Illness Prevention Program									2
s there a written compliant program in place?	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained?	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations?	1	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	3
Weighted Score for Injury and	50%	83%	100%	100%	100%	83%	83%	100%	100%
Comments: 100% of affected employees h	ave been tra	ained.							
Confined Space				1	Jan Br	197			
s there a written compliant program in place?	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained?	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Are the procedures outlined in the program	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	2
being followed in routine operations?					100 Car	Same I	*******	100%	83%
being followed in routine operations? Weighted Score for Confined Space Program Comments: 97% of affected employees ha frequently they should check the atmophere d		83% ined. CSE ry. When in	83% forms were nterviewed,	not always some staff of	100% completed lid not know	thoroughly w how to id	and staff w	vere unsure	about hov
Weighted Score for Confined Space Program Comments: 97% of affected employees ha frequently they should check the atmosphere detest the atmosphere for prior to entry.			forms word	not always	completed	thoroughly	and staff w	vere unsure	about how
Weighted Score for Confined Space Program Comments: 97% of affected employees ha frequently they should check the atmosphere de test the atmosphere for prior to entry. Hazard Communication			forms word	not always	completed	thoroughly	and staff w	vere unsure	about how
Weighted Score for Confined Space Program Comments: 97% of affected employees ha frequently they should check the atmosphere detest the atmosphere for prior to entry.	ave been tra uring an enti	ined, CSE ry. When ii	forms were terviewed,	not always some staff o	completed lid not know	thoroughly w how to id	and staff we lentify a cor	vere unsure nfined space	about hov or what t
Weighted Score for Confined Space Program Comments: 97% of affected employees has frequently they should check the atmosphere ditest the atmosphere for prior to entry. Hazard Communication Is there a written compliant program in place? Have 90-100% of the affected employees	ave been tra uring an enti 0	ined. CSE ry. When ii	forms were nterviewed,	not always some staff o	completed did not know	thoroughly w how to id	and staff well-entify a con	vere unsure infined space	about hove or what t
Weighted Score for Confined Space Program Comments: 97% of affected employees ha frequently they should check the atmophere de test the atmosphere for prior to entry. Hazard Communication Is there a written compliant program in place? Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained? Are the procedures outlined in the program	ove been tra uring an entr	ined. CSE ry. When ii 3	forms were nterviewed, 3	not always some staff of 3	completed did not know	thoroughly w how to id	and staff well-entify a con	vere unsure infined space	about how e or what t
Program Comments: 97% of affected employees have a trequently they should check the atmosphere detest the atmosphere for prior to entry. Hazard Communication Is there a written compliant program in place? Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained? Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations? Weighted Score for Hazard Communication Program Comments: 100% of affected employees be	ove been trauring an entr	ined, CSE ry. When ii 3 3 2	forms were nterviewed, 3 3 100%	not always some staff of 3	completed did not know 3 3 2 83%	thoroughly w how to id	and staff welentify a constant of the staff	were unsure infined space 3 3 2 83%	about hove or what to
Weighted Score for Confined Space Program Comments: 97% of affected employees have frequently they should check the atmosphere ditest the atmosphere for prior to entry. Hazard Communication Is there a written compliant program in place? Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained? Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations? Weighted Score for Hazard Communication Program Comments: 100% of affected employees have fading.	ove been trauring an entr	ined, CSE ry. When ii 3 3 2	forms were nterviewed, 3 3 100%	not always some staff of 3	completed did not know 3 3 2 83%	thoroughly w how to id	and staff welentify a constant of the staff	were unsure infined space 3 3 2 83%	about hove or what to
Weighted Score for Confined Space Program Comments: 97% of affected employees has frequently they should check the atmophere detest the atmosphere for prior to entry. Hazard Communication Is there a written compliant program in place? Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained? Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations? Weighted Score for Hazard	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	ined, CSE ry. When ii 3 3 2	forms were nterviewed, 3 3 100%	not always some staff of 3	completed did not know 3 3 2 83%	thoroughly w how to id	and staff welentify a constant of the staff	were unsure infined space 3 3 2 83%	about hove or what to
Weighted Score for Confined Space Program Comments: 97% of affected employees has frequently they should check the atmosphere of test the atmosphere for prior to entry. Hazard Communication Is there a written compliant program in place? Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained? Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations? Weighted Score for Hazard Communication Program Comments: 100% of affected employees have fading. Emergency Action Plan	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	ined. CSE ry. When in 3 3 2 83% ained. The	forms were nterviewed, 3 3 100% large Praxa	not always some staff of 3 3 2 83%	completed did not know 3 3 3 2 83% occated outcome	thoroughly w how to id 3 3 100%	and staff we lentify a constant of the staff with a constant of the constant of the staff with a constant of the staff with a consta	were unsure infined space 3 3 2 83%	about howe or what to 3 3 2 83%
Weighted Score for Confined Space Program Comments: 97% of affected employees ha frequently they should check the atmophere ditest the atmosphere for prior to entry. Hazard Communication Is there a written compliant program in place? Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained? Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations? Weighted Score for Hazard Communication Program Comments: 100% of affected employees have fading. Emergency Action Plan Is there a written compliant program in place? Have 90-100% of the affected employees	0 0 0 ave been tra	ined. CSE ry. When in 3 3 2 83% ained. The	forms were nterviewed, 3 3 100% large Praxa	not always some staff of 3 3 2 83%	completed did not know 3 3 3 2 83% occated outcome 3	thoroughly w how to id 3 3 100% doors at the	and staff when the st	3 3 2 83% 3	about howe or what to 3 3 2 83% cards that

Control of Hazardous Energy (LOTO) Pro	nmenta cedure	1000	The same	r S Land	-				
s there a written compliant program in place?	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
lave 90-100% of the affected employees	0	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
ve the procedures outlined in the program	0	1	2	2	3	3	1	3	3
eing followed in routine operations?							DS IN		4000/
Veighted Score for Control of lazardous Energy Procedure	-0%	67%	75%	83%	100%	100%	67%	100%	100%
Comments: 100% of affected employees ha	ve been tr	ained. Staf	f interviewe	d were gene	erally know	ledgeable	about the p	rogram.	
arc Flash and Electrical Safety	110	in a h							
las an Arc Flash Study been completed and re panels and equipment appropriately abeled?	0	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	3
Have 90-100% of the affected employees peen trained?	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Are the procedures outlined in the study being ollowed in routine operations?	0	1	3	2	3	2	3	3	2
			Total Control	0000	83%	75%	100%	100%	83%
Weighted Score for Arc Flash and Electrical Safety Program Comments: A written electrical safety program and therefore training was not performed on Memarked "Danger, explosive gas, no smoking, no					s performe	d by on-ca	I, external e		
Comments: A written electrical safety program and therefore training was not performed on Memarked "Danger, explosive gas, no smoking, no	am was no	t implement	ed. Electric	al work was ervoir 1 Pur stored next	s performe np Room, a to eletrica	d by on-ca a drum car I panels, w	II, external et with wheel ithin the ap	oroach bour	dary.
Electrical Safety Program Comments: A written electrical safety program	am was no	t implement	ed. Electric	al work was	s performe	d by on-ca	I, external e		
Comments: A written electrical safety program and therefore training was not performed on Memarked "Danger, explosive gas, no smoking, no Accident Investigation s there a written compliant program in place? Have 90-100% of the affected employees	am was no sa Water open flan	t implement employees. ne" was obs	ed. Electric In the Rese erved to be	al work was ervoir 1 Pur stored next	s performe np Room, a to eletrica	d by on-ca a drum car I panels, w	II, external et with wheel ithin the ap	oroach bour	ndary.
Comments: A written electrical safety program and therefore training was not performed on Menarked "Danger, explosive gas, no smoking, no Accident Investigation s there a written compliant program in place? Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained? Are the procedures outlined in the program	am was no sa Water open flan	it implement employees. ne" was obs	ed. Electric In the Rese erved to be	eal work was ervoir 1 Pur stored next	s performe np Room, a to eletrica	d by on-ca a drum car I panels, w	II, external et with wheel ithin the app	3	3
Comments: A written electrical safety program and therefore training was not performed on Memarked "Danger, explosive gas, no smoking, no Accident Investigation	am was no sa Water open flan 2	it implement employees. ne" was obs 3	ed. Electric In the Rese erved to be	al work was ervoir 1 Pur stored next 3	s performent Room, at to eletrica	d by on-ca a drum can I panels, w 3	II, external et with wheel ithin the app	3 3	3
Comments: A written electrical safety program and therefore training was not performed on Memarked "Danger, explosive gas, no smoking, no Accident Investigation s there a written compliant program in place? Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained? Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations? Weighted Score for Accident investigation Comments: Staff interviewed were knowledged.	am was no sa Water open flan	at implement employees. ne" was obs 3 3 2	and a second sec	al work was ervoir 1 Pur stored next 3 3 3	s performent Room, at to eletrica	d by on-ca a drum car I panels, w 3 3	3 3 3 100%	3 3 3 100%	3 3 100%
Comments: A written electrical safety program and therefore training was not performed on Menarked "Danger, explosive gas, no smoking, no Accident Investigation s there a written compliant program in place? Have 90-100% of the affected employees peen trained? Are the procedures outlined in the program peing followed in routine operations? Weighted Score for Accident investigation Comments: Staff interviewed were knowledged, but no RCAs were conducted.	am was no sa Water o open flan 2 1 2 geable about	at implement employees. ne" was obs 3 3 2 83% out the prog	and a second sec	al work was ervoir 1 Pur stored next 3 3 3	s performent Room, at to eletrica	d by on-ca a drum car I panels, w 3 3	3 3 3 100%	3 3 3 100%	3 3 100%
Comments: A written electrical safety program and therefore training was not performed on Memarked "Danger, explosive gas, no smoking, no Accident Investigation as there a written compliant program in place? Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained? Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations? Weighted Score for Accident investigation	am was no sa Water o open flan 2 1 2 geable about	at implement employees. ne" was obs 3 3 2 83% out the prog	and a second sec	al work was ervoir 1 Pur stored next 3 3 3	s performent Room, at to eletrica	d by on-ca a drum car I panels, w 3 3	3 3 3 100%	3 3 3 100%	3 3 100%
Comments: A written electrical safety program and therefore training was not performed on Memarked "Danger, explosive gas, no smoking, no Accident Investigation as there a written compliant program in place? Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained? Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations? Weighted Score for Accident investigation Comments: Staff interviewed were knowledge, but no RCAs were conducted.	am was no sa Water open flan 2 1 2 56% geable about Training	at implement employees. The was observed as a second a	and a sed. Electric In the Reserved to be served to be se	al work was ervoir 1 Pur stored next 3 3 3 100%	s performent Room, at to eletrica 3 3 100%	d by on-ca a drum can I panels, w 3 3 3	3 3 100% n trained. S	3 3 100% Seven accide	3 3 100% ents took
Comments: A written electrical safety program and therefore training was not performed on Menarked "Danger, explosive gas, no smoking, no Accident Investigation Is there a written compliant program in place? Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained? Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations? Weighted Score for Accident investigation Comments: Staff interviewed were knowledged, but no RCAs were conducted. Hazardous Waste Management and DOT is there a written compliant program in place? Have 90-100% of the affected employees	am was no sa Water o open flan 2 1 2 56% Geable about 1	at implement employees. ne" was obs 3 3 2 83% out the prog	and a sed. Electric In the Reserved to be a served to be a served and	al work was ervoir 1 Pur stored next 3 3 100%	s performent Room, at to eletrica 3 3 100% employees	d by on-ca a drum can I panels, w 3 3 100%	3 3 100% a trained. S	3 3 100% Seven accide	3 3 100% ents took

no hazardous waste was observed at the time of the audit.

Environmental, Health and Safety Program Scorecard Heat Illness Prevention Program 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 0 ls there a written compliant program in place? 3 3 3 Have 90-100% of the affected employees 3 3 3 3 0 3 been trained? 3 3 Are the procedures outlined in the program 3 3 2 3 3 2 0 being followed in routine operations? 100% Weighted Score for Heat Illness 100% 100% 100% 83% 100% 100% 83% 0% Prevention Program Comments: 100% of affected employees have been trained. Staff interviewed were knowledgeable about the program. Staff maintained supply of water on trucks. **Fall Protection** 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 0 1 Is there a written compliant program in place? 3 3 3 Have 90-100% of the affected employees 3 3 3 1 0 0 been trained? 3 3 Are the procedures outlined in the program 2 2 3 1 2 0 0 being followed in routine operations? Weighted Score for Fall Protection 100% 83% 100% 83% 100% 83% 42% 0% Program Comments: 100% of affected employees have been trained. Staff interviewed were knowledgeable about the program. Fire Prevention Plan 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 Is there a written compliant program in place? 2 3 3 2 Have 90-100% of the affected employees 3 3 3 3 1 3 3 been trained? 2 3 3 Are the procedures outlined in the program 3 2 2 2 2 2 being followed in routine operations? 75% Weighted Score for Fire Prevention 100% 83% 100% 100% 83% 83% 67% 75% Plan Comments: Fire extinguishers observed were in compliance. 89% of affected employees have been trained. One fire extinguisher in the warehouse at the MWRF was blocked. One fire extinguisher at Well #9 was located in a locked storage closet where access was limited. **Forklift Operations** 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 Is there a written compliant program in place? 3 3 Have 90-100% of the affected employees 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 been trained? 3 3 Are the procedures outlined in the program 3 2 2 3 3 2 2 being followed in routine operations? 100% 100% Weighted Score for Forklift 100% 100% 83% 83% 83% 100% 83%

Comments: 100% of affected employees have been trained. Note that there were no forklift activities in progress to observe at the time of the audit. Documentation was reviewed and no issues noted.

Operations

Enviro	nmenta	l, Health	and Safe	ty Progra	m Score	card		- 10 TOSAT	TE RES
Overhead Crane Operation Program		The Alexander							
s there a written compliant program in place?	3	3	3	∞ 3	3	3	3	3	3
Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained?	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations?	1	1	3	3	2	2	3	2	2
Weighted Score for Overhead Crane Operations	67%	67%	100%	100%	83%	83%	100%	83%	83%
Comments: 100% of affected employees h	ave been t	rained. Cra	ne inspectio	ons for Octo	ber 2020 w	vere not av	ailable for r	eview.	10.00
Slings and Hoisting Equipment Program	77.0	100				de l' W			
s there a written compliant program in place?	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained?	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations?	1	1	3	3	3	2	3	2	3
Weighted Score for Slings and Holsting Equipment	58%	67%	100%	100%	100%	83%	100%	83%	100%
Comments: 100% of affected employees ha	ve been tra	ained.				450			
Bloodborne Pathogens Procedure							The same	196	
s there a written compliant program in place?	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained?	0	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations?	0	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
Weighted Score for Bloodborne Pathogens Procedure	0%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Comments: Staff interviewed were knowled available because no sharps were found in FY2	geable abo	out the prog	ram. 100%	of affected	employees	s have bee	n trained. 1	No sharps lo	g was
Alcohol and Controlled Substances Prog							WE - 1		
s there a written compliant program in place?	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained?	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations?	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Weighted Score for Alcohol and Controlled Substances Program	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Comments: A written program was in place and employees were aware of requirements.100% of affected employees have been trained.

Enviro	onmental	l, Health	and Safe	ty Progra	m Score	card			
Asbestos Containing Materials Program								3 % Salar	
Is there a written compliant program in place?	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained?	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations?	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
Weighted Score for Asbestos Containing Materials Program	75%	83%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Comments: 100% of affected employees h	ave been tra	ained.			3.70		¥9.		
Excavation and Trench Safety Program	77.0		12.0			STEE	1000		
Is there a written compliant program in place?	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained?	2	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	3
Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations?	1	1	3	3	1	2	3	2	2
Weighted Score for Excavation and Trench Safety Program	50%	67%	100%	92%	58%	83%	100%	83%	83%
Comments: 100% of affected employees hanalysis sections were not completed. No activities	ave been tr ve work invo	ained. On olving exca	some excav	ration insper orking within	ction check a trench w	dists, the p vas observe	rotection sy ed.	stem and/o	soil
Personal Protective Equipment Program			THE REAL PROPERTY.						
Is there a written compliant program in place?	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained?	1	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations?	1.	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	3
Weighted Score for Personal	A 2000	83%	75%	83%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%

Comments: 100% of affected employees have been trained. Employees observed were using the appropriate PPE for their task.

Protective Equipment Program

Environmental, Health and Safety Program Scorecard Physical Inspections of Facilities and Grounds Program 3 3 Are inspections being completed at the 3 3 3 3 3 3 appropriate intervals? 3 3 3 Are corrective actions coming out of the 3 3 3 2 3 inspections being address in a timely manner? Are the routine housekeeping issues outlined 2 2 2 2 3 3 2 2 2 in the inspections being followed in routine operations? Weighted Score for Physical 83% 83% 83% 83% 100% 100% 83% 75% 83% Inspections of Facilities and **Grounds Program** Comments: This written program was removed and rolled into the IIPP as of 8/31/21. In the main building warehouse area, drain covers, parts, meters and other equipment were observed to be stored on the floor and not on racks. This housekeeping issue created a trip hazard and egress hazard. In the MWRF warehouse, access to a fire extinguisher was blocked by two boxes on the floor. Within the MWRF there was a possible condenser or some type of heavy unit mounted to the outdoor wall of a building. The support brackets for the unit were rusting.

Respiratory Protection Program	Add.	, FLEXEN		100		7.5	Service .		
Is there a written compliant program in place?	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained?	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations?	1	3	3	2	3	3	3	2	2
Weighted Score for Respiratory Protection Program	50%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%	83%	83%

Comments: 92% of affected employees have been trained. When interviewed, some employees did not understand when respiratory protection should be worn.

Hearing Conservation Program	1 2 1			THE PARTY					N. CE
Is there a written compliant program in place?	7	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained?	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations?	1	2	3	2	3	2	3	3	3
Weighted Score for Hearing Conservation Program	50%	83%	92%	83%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%

Comments: Employees observed were using hearing protection where appropriate and Citadel staff were issued hearing protectors for use during the audit. Documentation was reviewed and no issues noted.

Enviro	nmenta	, Health	and Safe	ty Progra	m Score	card	William St		
Hazardous Materials: Uniform Program I	lazardous	s Material	s inventor	y Forms					
Is the annual HMBP submitted as required?	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained?	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations?	1	2	3	2	3	2	3	3	3
Weighted Score for HMBP	42%	83%	100%	83%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%
Comments: At Well #9, an employee was u materials for Well #9 were located in a locked s Spill Prevention Control and Countermed	torage roor	n.	70 5 9	112			757	TE FIL	
Is there a written compliant program in place?	0	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Have 90-100% of the affected employees been trained?	0	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	3
Are the procedures outlined in the program being followed in routine operations?	0	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	3
Weighted Score for SPCC	0%	50%	67%	83%	100%	100%	100%	83%	100%
Comments: 100% of affected employees ha	ave been tr	ained. Rep	airs to the	AST are in p	orogress.				
Overall Score	39%	77%	87%	89%	92%	91%	97%	94%	94%

Scoring:

- 0 Not Present
- 1 Present but needs major changes or improvement does not meet the regulatory requirements
- 2 Present but needs minor changes or improvements
- 3 Excellent, requires routine work to support

Red:0-59%

Green: 60%-89% Gold: 90%-100%

Note: Scoring is weighted, written program and training each account for 25% of the overall score, execution of procedures in routine operations are worth 50% of the overall score.

Scoring of this assessment was conducted by reviewing applicable policies and training records, review of inspection records and findings, and from onsite evaluations by supervisors and the safety consultant. On-site evaluations will be conducted by the safety consultant 12 times per year.

Example: Hazardous Waste Management was scored as follows: In 2012 there was no written hazardous waste policy and no records of formal training for employees who handled hazardous waste, therefore the scoring for all categories was zero. In 2014, the compliant program was in place and all affected employees had been trained, which scored 3 points for each category. However, during weekly inspection review it has been noted that procedures in the policy were not always being followed, which led to a score of 1 point for that category.